2

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 are pending and at issue.

The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following groups:

Group I: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is -C(O)NR<sup>4</sup>, X is O or S(O)<sub>m</sub> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group II: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is -NR<sup>4</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>, X is O or S(O)<sub>m</sub> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group IIII: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is -SO<sub>2</sub>NR<sup>4</sup>, X is O or S(O)<sub>m</sub> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group IV: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is -NR<sup>4</sup>C(O), X is O or S(O)<sub>m</sub> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group V: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is -C(O)NR<sup>4</sup>, X is -NR<sup>5</sup> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group VI: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein wherein Y is -NR<sup>4</sup>SO<sub>2</sub>, X is -NR<sup>5</sup> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group VII: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein is -SO<sub>2</sub>NR<sup>4</sup>, X is -NR<sup>5</sup> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group VIII: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is -NR<sup>4</sup>C(O), X is -NR<sup>5</sup> and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1.

Applicants respectfully traverse this restriction. Applicants request that the claims of Group V be rejoined with the claims of Group I. The structural components of the compounds in Group V are the same as that in Group I, with the exception of X, which is  $-NR^5$  in Group V, and O or  $S(O)_m$  in Group I.

The Examiner contends that Groups I and V lack a special technical feature because they fail to define a contribution over O'Brien (WO 98/09934). O'Brien discloses compounds having the formula

$$R^2 \xrightarrow{1 \atop X} \stackrel{0}{\underset{R^4}{|}} = 0$$

In contrast, the claims of Groups I and V are directed to tricyclic compounds where the right ring group is substituted with an amide group (-CONR<sup>4</sup>) and a -P-R<sup>1</sup> group where P is oxygen or sulfur. O'Brien does not disclose or suggest tricyclic compounds having this combination of substituents on the right ring group. Groups I and V, therefore, share a special technical feature over the prior art and, therefore, do not lack unity.

Accordingly, applicants request rejoinder of the claims of Groups I and V.

In order to be fully responsive, applicants provisionally elect the claims of Group I (claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94).

In view of the above remarks, applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: June 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted

Jay P. Lessler

Registration No.: 41,151 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant